

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/735,017 12/12/2003 Terry F. Plasse 03223109 2314 43569 7590 07/15/2005 **EXAMINER** MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP EBRAHIM, NABILA G 1909 K STREET, N.W. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WASHINGTON, DC 20006

1618

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	N		
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,017	PLASSE, TERRY F.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nabila G. Ebrahim	1618	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)  ail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/2005</u>.</li> </ul>		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/735,017

**Art Unit: 1618** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of IDS dated 5/3/ 2005 is acknowledged

## Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful method of treatment may obtain a patent therefor claim one in the instant application is drawn to cause the same effect on HIV patients as claim 1 in U.S. patent 6703418. Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6703418. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,017

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaupel et al. and Noyes et al. Vaupel et al teach that delta-9-THC stimulates food consumption in normal subjects. The claims differ in that they are drawn to the treatment of symptomatic HIV-infected patients. It would have been obvious to any person with skill in the art to employ a drug known for its ability to induce food consumption in healthy subjects for the same purpose in subjects infected with HIV or those suffering from any other disorder.

Additionally, Noyes et al. teach the use of delta-9-THC for appetite stimulation in cancer patients. Again, the claims differ in that they are drawn to the treatment of symptomatic HIV-infected patients. Cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy are immuno-suppressed as are symptomatic HIV infected patients and therefore are subject to many of the same symptoms as are patients with symptomatic HIV infections. Therefore, in view of the prior art it would have been obvious to any person who is skilled in the art to employ delta-9-THC for the purpose of the instant invention.

Since it is well known in the art that delta-9-THC induces an increased appetite, one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to employ delta-9-THC in the treatment of healthy patients as well as those suffering from any disease in which it is desirable to stimulate the appetite, absent evidence to the contrary.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 2, and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: the last word "orally" is not accepted as an adverb that modifies the verb "to be" which may create a misunderstanding of the intended meaning, and claim 11 is

Application/Control Number: 10/735,017 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

objected because it lacks the preposition "to" in line 10. Appropriate corrections are required.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Patent number 5055446 (Alexander et al.) which teaches a method to improve survival of patients during sepsis by diet composition, and Patent number 6887893 (Kurakata et al.) and patent number teaches Methods and compositions for treatment and prevention of tumors, tumor-related disorders and cachexia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nabila G. Ebrahim whose telephone number is 571-272-8151. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Nabila Ebrahim

7-10-2005